



INTERNATIONAL

TAFT-HARTLEY PROXY VOTING GUIDELINES UPDATES 2025 Policy Recommendations

Effective for Meetings on or after February 1, 2025

Published January 9, 2025

WWW.ISSGOVERNANCE.COM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Operational Items 3

 Amendments to Articles to Allow Virtual Meetings..... 3

Board of Directors 5

 Board Diversity 5

 Canada – Gender Diversity 5

 Canada – Ethnic Diversity..... 7

 UK & Ireland 9

 Board Structure 12

Shareholder Proposals 13

 Say on Climate (SoC) Management Proposals 13

Operational Items

Amendments to Articles to Allow Virtual Meetings

Current Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Policy:	New Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Policy:
<p>Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Recommendation: Generally vote for proposals allowing for the convening of hybrid¹ shareholder meetings.</p> <p>Vote case-by-case on proposals concerning virtual-only meetings², considering:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether the company has committed to ensuring shareholders will have the same rights participating electronically as they would have for an in-person meeting; Rationale of the circumstances under which virtual-only meetings would be held; In-person or hybrid meetings are not precluded; Whether an authorization is restricted in time or allows for the possibility of virtual-only meetings indefinitely; and Local laws and regulations concerning the convening of virtual meetings. 	<p>Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Recommendation: Generally vote for proposals allowing for the convening of hybrid¹ shareholder meetings.</p> <p>Vote case-by-case on proposals concerning virtual-only meetings², considering:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether the company has committed to ensuring shareholders will have the same rights participating electronically as they would have for an in-person meeting; Assurance that a virtual-only meeting will only be convened in the case of extraordinary circumstances that necessitate restrictions on physical attendance; The use of past authorizations to hold virtual-only meetings and the accompanying rationale for doing so; In-person or hybrid meetings are not precluded; and Local laws and regulations concerning the convening of virtual meetings.
<p>Footnotes:</p> <p>¹The phrase “hybrid shareholder meeting” refers to an in-person meeting in which shareholders are also permitted to participate online.</p> <p>²The phrase “virtual-only shareholder meeting” refers to a meeting of shareholders that is held exclusively through the use of online technology without a corresponding in-person meeting.</p>	<p>Footnotes:</p> <p>¹The phrase “hybrid shareholder meeting” refers to an in-person meeting in which shareholders are also permitted to participate online.</p> <p>²The phrase “virtual-only shareholder meeting” refers to a meeting of shareholders that is held exclusively through the use of online technology without a corresponding in-person meeting.</p>

Rationale for Change:

This policy change is to reflect developing investor sentiment with regard to virtual-only meeting authorizations. The policy was last updated for 2023 in the context of new legislation across a number of markets that allowed for virtual-only shareholder meetings beyond emergency authorizations passed in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. At the time, many companies sought flexibility via these virtual-only meeting authorizations, although shareholder sentiment was cautious on the topic due to concerns that

the virtual-only format may lead to a diminution of shareholder rights. For that reason, the Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Policy Guidelines were formulated in such a way as to take a "wait and see" approach, particularly with regard to how these authorizations would be utilized and shareholders' experience of the virtual-only format.

Against this background, the 2024 ISS policy survey included a follow-up question regarding current views on virtual-only meetings. In the survey, 31.2% of investor respondents answered that they considered the format "*Negative, not supportive under any circumstance*" or "*Somewhat negative, only permissible in extraordinary circumstances, with authorization limited in time*". A further 29.3% of investor respondents considered the format "*Mixed, it depends on company practice (e.g., frequency, rationale, conduct of previous meetings, etc.)*". As a result, it is clear that concerns remain regarding virtual-only meetings, and the Taft-Hartley Advisory Services policy will be updated to reflect investors' concerns and desired safeguards. The policy will continue to be applied on a case-by-case basis.

Board of Directors

Board Diversity

Canada – Gender Diversity

Current Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Policy:	New Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Policy:
<p>For S&P/TSX Composite Index companies, generally vote withhold for the Chair of the Nominating Committee or Chair of the committee designated with the responsibility of a nominating committee, or Chair of the board of directors if no nominating committee has been identified or no chair of such committee has been identified, where women comprise less than 30% of the board of directors.</p> <p><u>S&P/TSX Composite Exemptions:</u></p> <p>Assuming a publicly disclosed written commitment to achieve 30% representation of women on the board at or prior to the subsequent AGM, an exception will be made for companies which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Joined the S&P/TSX Composite Index and have not previously been subject to a 30% representation of women on the board requirement as an S&P/TSX Composite Index constituent in the past; and ▪ Have fallen below 30% representation of women on the board due to an extraordinary circumstance after achieving such level of representation at the preceding AGM. <p>For TSX companies which are not also S&P/TSX Composite Index constituents, generally vote withhold for the Chair of the Nominating Committee or Chair of the committee designated with the responsibility of a nominating committee, or Chair of the board of directors if no nominating committee has been identified or no chair of such committee has been identified, where there are zero women on the board of directors.</p> <p><u>Non-S&P/TSX Composite Exemptions:</u></p> <p>This policy will not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Newly publicly-listed companies within the current or prior fiscal year; 	<p>For S&P/TSX Composite Index companies, generally vote withhold for the Chair of the Nominating Committee or Chair of the committee designated with the responsibility of a nominating committee, or Chair of the board of directors if no nominating committee has been identified or no chair of such committee has been identified, where women comprise less than 30% of the board of directors.</p> <p><u>S&P/TSX Composite Exemptions:</u></p> <p>Assuming a publicly disclosed written commitment to achieve 30% representation of women on the board at or prior to the subsequent AGM, an exception will be made for companies which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Joined the S&P/TSX Composite Index and have not previously been subject to a 30% representation of women on the board requirement as an S&P/TSX Composite Index constituent in the past; and ▪ Have fallen below 30% representation of women on the board after achieving such level of representation at the preceding AGM. <p>For TSX companies which are not also S&P/TSX Composite Index constituents, generally vote withhold for the Chair of the Nominating Committee or Chair of the committee designated with the responsibility of a nominating committee, or Chair of the board of directors if no nominating committee has been identified or no chair of such committee has been identified, where there are zero women on the board of directors.</p> <p><u>Non-S&P/TSX Composite Exemptions:</u></p> <p>This policy will not apply to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Newly publicly-listed companies within the current or prior fiscal year;

<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Companies that have transitioned from the TSXV within the current or prior fiscal year; or▪ Companies with four or fewer directors. <p>Assuming a publicly disclosed written commitment to add at least one woman to the board at or prior to the subsequent AGM, an exception will be made for companies which temporarily have no women on the board due to an extraordinary circumstance after having at least one woman on the board at the preceding AGM.</p> <p>Evaluate on a case-by-case basis whether withhold recommendations are warranted for additional directors at companies that fail to meet the above policy that would apply to their respective constituent group over two years or more.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Companies that have transitioned from the TSXV within the current or prior fiscal year; or▪ Companies with four or fewer directors. <p>Assuming a publicly disclosed written commitment to add at least one woman to the board at or prior to the subsequent AGM, an exception will be made for companies which temporarily have no women on the board after having at least one woman on the board at the preceding AGM.</p> <p>Evaluate on a case-by-case basis whether withhold recommendations are warranted for additional directors at companies that fail to meet the above policy that would apply to their respective constituent group over two years or more.</p>
--	---

Rationale for Change:

The current policy requires the disclosure and interpretation of the circumstances behind an issuer falling below the board gender diversity thresholds for Canadian meetings before a policy exemption may be applied. By removing this requirement, it is anticipated there will be greater transparency and predictability as to how the policy is applied while harmonizing the Canadian approach with the US market.

Canada – Ethnic Diversity

Current Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Policy:	New Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Policy:
<p>For companies in the S&P/TSX Composite Index, generally vote against or withhold from the Chair of the Nominating Committee or Chair of the committee designated with the responsibility of a nominating committee, or the Chair of the board of directors if no nominating committee has been identified or no chair of such committee has been identified, where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The board has no apparent racially or ethnically diverse members⁵; and ▪ The company has not provided a formal, publicly-disclosed written commitment to add at least one racially or ethnically diverse director at or prior to the next AGM. <p>Evaluate on a case-by-case basis whether against/withhold recommendations are warranted for additional directors at companies that fail to meet the policy over two years or more.</p>	<p>For companies in the S&P/TSX Composite Index, generally vote against or withhold from the Chair of the Nominating Committee or Chair of the committee designated with the responsibility of a nominating committee, or the Chair of the board of directors if no nominating committee has been identified or no chair of such committee has been identified, where the board has no apparent racially or ethnically diverse members⁵.</p> <p>S&P/TSX Composite Exemptions:</p> <p>With a publicly disclosed written commitment to add at least one racially or ethnically diverse director on the board at or prior to the subsequent AGM, an exception will be made for companies which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Joined the S&P/TSX Composite Index and have not previously been subject to the racial/ethnic board requirement as an S&P/TSX Composite Index constituent in the past⁶; or ▪ Have fallen below the minimum racial or ethnic representation on the board after achieving such level of representation at the preceding AGM. <p>Evaluate on a case-by-case basis whether against/withhold recommendations are warranted for additional directors at companies that fail to meet the policy over two years or more.</p>
<p>Footnotes:</p> <p>⁵Aggregate diversity statistics provided by the board will only be considered if specific to racial and/or ethnic diversity.</p> <p>Racial and/or Ethnic Diversity is defined as: Aboriginal peoples (means persons who are Indigenous, Inuit or Métis) and members of visible minorities (means persons, other than Aboriginal peoples, who are non-Caucasian in race or non-white in colour).</p> <p>Employment Equity Act (S.C. 1995, c. 44) https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/E-5.401/section-3.html</p>	<p>Footnotes:</p> <p>⁵Aggregate diversity statistics provided by the board will only be considered if specific to racial and/or ethnic diversity.</p> <p>Racial and/or Ethnic Diversity is defined as: Aboriginal peoples (means persons who are Indigenous, Inuit or Métis) and members of visible minorities (means persons, other than Aboriginal peoples, who are non-Caucasian in race or non-white in colour).</p> <p>Employment Equity Act (S.C. 1995, c. 44) https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/E-5.401/section-3.html</p> <p>⁶Since the previous AGM.</p>

Rationale for Change:

The Canadian market was notified of the inclusion of a racial/ethnic diversity standard for all TSX Composite Index boards during the 2023 consultation period, implemented for TSX composite companies with meetings after February 1, 2024, and the 2025 changes to this policy are being made to remove the transitory language associated with the initial implementation year and addition of conditional exemptions to the final policy language.

UK & Ireland

Current Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Policy:	New Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Policy:
<p>Gender Diversity</p> <p>In UK & Ireland, generally recommend against the chair of the nomination committee (or other directors on a case-by-case basis) in the following cases:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The company is a constituent of the FTSE 350 (excluding investment trusts) and the board does not comprise at least 33 percent representation of women, in line with the recommendation of the Hampton-Alexander Review. ▪ The company (excluding investment companies) is a constituent of any of the following, and there is not at least one woman on the board: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ FTSE SmallCap; ▪ ISEQ 20; ▪ Listed on the AIM with a market capitalisation of over GBP 500 million. <p>Mitigating factors include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Compliance with the relevant board diversity standard at the preceding AGM and a firm commitment, publicly available, to comply with the relevant standard within a year. ▪ Other relevant factors as applicable. <p>For companies with financial years beginning on or after April 1, 2022, the following guidelines will apply:</p> <p>For standard and premium listed companies, Taft-Hartley Advisory Services may consider recommending against the chair of the nomination committee (or other directors on a case-by-case basis) if the company has not met the reporting requirements of the FCA Listing Rules, which require boards to meet the following targets:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ At least 40% of the board are women; and ▪ At least one of the senior board positions (Chair, CEO, Senior Independent Director, or CFO) is a woman. <p>In respect of ISEQ 20 constituents and AIM-listed companies with a market capitalisation of over GBP 500 million, Taft-Hartley Advisory Services will generally recommend against the chair of the nomination committee (or other</p>	<p>Gender Diversity</p> <p>For companies required to report against the FCA Listing Rules on a comply or explain basis, Taft-Hartley Advisory Services may consider recommending against the chair of the nomination committee (or another relevant director) if the company has not met the disclosure requirements of the FCA Listing Rules in respect of board diversity, including reporting against the following targets:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ At least 40 percent of the board are women; and ▪ At least one of the senior board positions (Chair, CEO, Senior Independent Director, or CFO) is a woman. <p>Progress against the targets will be evaluated. Taft-Hartley Advisory Services may consider recommending against the chair of the nomination committee (or another relevant director) in the absence of such progress, unaccompanied by a satisfactory rationale.</p> <p>The market expects higher diversity standards from FTSE 350 companies, which have been subject to pre-existing diversity recommendations.</p> <p>In respect of AIM-listed companies with a market capitalisation of over GBP 500 million, Taft-Hartley Advisory Services may consider recommending against the chair of the nomination committee (or another relevant director) if there is not at least one woman on the board.</p> <p>In the case of ISEQ 20 companies, Taft-Hartley Advisory Services may consider a negative recommendation where less than 33% of the board is composed of women.</p> <p>In all cases, diversity is considered in a holistic manner, taking account of the company's explanation. Mitigating factors include, but are not limited to, the company's previous record on board diversity and future commitments.</p>

directors on a case-by-case basis) if there is not at least one woman on the board.

Mitigating factors include:

- Compliance with the relevant board diversity standard at the preceding AGM and a firm commitment, publicly available, to comply with the relevant standard within a year.
- Other relevant factors as applicable.

Ethnic Diversity

Taft-Hartley Advisory Services will generally recommend against the chair of the nomination committee (or other directors on a case-by-case basis) if the company is a constituent of the FTSE 100 index (excluding investment companies) and has not appointed at least one individual from an ethnic minority background to the board.

Furthermore, there is an expectation for constituents of the following indices (excluding investment companies) to appoint at least one individual from an ethnic minority background to the board by **2024**:

- FTSE 250 index;
- FTSE SmallCap;
- ISEQ 20;
- Listed on the AIM with a market capitalisation of over GBP 500 million.

The abovementioned companies are expected to publicly disclose a roadmap to compliance with best market practice standards of having at least one director from an ethnic minority background by **2024**.

For companies with financial years beginning on or after April 1, 2022, the following guideline will apply:

For standard and premium listed companies, Taft-Hartley Advisory Services may consider recommending against the chair of the nomination committee (or other directors on a case-by-case basis) if the company has not met the relevant reporting requirement of the FCA Listing Rules, which require boards to confirm that at least one member of the board is from a minority ethnic background⁷.

Mitigating factors include:

Ethnic Diversity

For companies required to report against the FCA Listing Rules on a comply or explain basis, Taft-Hartley Advisory Services may consider recommending against the chair of the nomination committee (or another relevant director) if the company has not met the relevant disclosure requirements, including reporting against the target, that at least one member of the board is from a minority ethnic background⁷.

Progress against the target will be evaluated. Taft-Hartley Advisory Services may consider recommending against the chair of the nomination committee (or another relevant director) in the absence of such progress, unaccompanied by a satisfactory rationale.

The market expects higher diversity standards from FTSE 350 companies, which have been subject to pre-existing diversity recommendations.

In respect of ISEQ 20 constituents and AIM-listed companies with a market capitalisation of over GBP 500 million, Taft-Hartley Advisory Services will consider recommending against the chair of the nomination committee (or another relevant director) if such companies have not appointed at least one individual from an ethnic minority background to the board.

<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Compliance with the relevant board diversity standard at the preceding AGM and a firm commitment, publicly available, to comply with the relevant standard within a year.▪ Other relevant factors as applicable. <p>In respect of ISEQ 20 constituents and AIM-listed companies with a market capitalisation of over GBP 500 million, Taft-Hartley Advisory Services will generally recommend against the chair of the nomination committee (or other directors on a case-by-case basis) if such companies have not appointed at least one individual from an ethnic minority background to the board by 2024.</p>	
<p>Footnotes:</p> <p>⁷Defined by reference to categories recommended by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) excluding those listed, by the ONS, as coming from a White ethnic background.</p>	<p>Footnotes:</p> <p>⁷Defined by reference to categories recommended by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) excluding those listed, by the ONS, as coming from a White ethnic background.</p>

Rationale for Change:

In recent years, there has been a global drive to increase gender diversity on corporate boards and in senior management positions. In early 2024, the FCA listing rules for the UK were updated to include a recommendation that at least one member of a company’s senior management team, defined as the company’s CEO, CFO, Board Chair, or Senior Independent Director (SID), be a woman. Taft-Hartley policy was amended in 2023 to take account of the Listing Rules revisions regarding gender and ethnic diversity. The purpose of the new change is to clarify that the FCA requirement is for companies to report against the targets, as opposed to actually meeting them (although progress against the targets is expected by investors). The change aims to better reflect the nature of the FCA’s requirement.

The Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Policy thus far has integrated the benchmark approach to this topic. However, by explicitly including this recommendation as part of the guidelines and applying the policy’s current targeting approach in the same way as board gender and racial/ethnic diversity triggers, the Specialty Research team will be better able to identify issuers’ shortcomings and recommend votes against appropriate targets. This will facilitate more flexibility for smaller companies, which have not been subject to the recommendations of the FTSE Women Leaders Review (formerly Hampton Alexander) or Parker Reviews. These reviews have been applicable to FTSE 350 companies for some time, and the change allows for differentiated treatment of the FTSE 350 in this context. The update also acknowledges that ISEQ 20 companies are bound by the Irish Government’s Balance for Better Boards. Lastly, the changes reflect the movement away from the obsolete UK listing categories of premium and standard companies.

Board Structure

Current Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Policy:	New Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Policy:
<p>Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Recommendation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Vote for proposals to fix board size.▪ Vote against the introduction of classified boards and mandatory retirement ages for directors.▪ Vote against proposals to alter board structure or size in the context of a fight for control of the company or the board.	<p>Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Recommendation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Vote for proposals to fix board size.▪ Vote against the introduction of classified boards and mandatory retirement ages for directors.▪ Vote against proposals to alter board structure or size in the context of a fight for control of the company or the board.▪ Vote against proposals to increase board terms.

Rationale for Change:

The update codifies the policy application already applied in the rare cases when companies propose an increase to board term. In addition, the policy update also provides greater transparency and consistency on the current policy application.

Shareholder Proposals

Say on Climate (SoC) Management Proposals

Current Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Policy:	New Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Policy:
<p>Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Recommendation: Vote case-by-case on management proposals that request shareholders to approve the company's climate transition action plan¹⁴, taking into account the completeness and rigor of the plan. Information that will be considered where available includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The extent to which the company's climate related disclosures are in line with TCFD recommendations and meet other market standards; ▪ Disclosure of its operational and supply chain GHG emissions (Scopes 1, 2, and 3); ▪ The completeness and rigor of company's short-, medium-, and long-term targets for reducing operational and supply chain GHG emissions (Scopes 1, 2, and 3 if relevant); ▪ Whether the company has sought and received third-party approval that its targets are science-based; ▪ Whether the company has made a commitment to be "net zero" for operational and supply chain emissions (Scopes 1, 2, and 3) by 2050; ▪ Whether the company discloses a commitment to report on the implementation of its plan in subsequent years; ▪ Whether the company's climate data has received third-party assurance; ▪ Disclosure of how the company's lobbying activities and its capital expenditures align with company strategy; ▪ Whether there are specific industry decarbonization challenges; and ▪ The company's related commitment, disclosure, and performance compared to its industry peers. 	<p>Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Recommendation: Vote case-by-case on management proposals that request shareholders to approve the company's climate transition action plan¹⁴, taking into account the completeness and rigor of the plan. Information that will be considered where available includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The extent to which the company's climate related disclosures are in line with TCFD recommendations and meet other market standards; ▪ Disclosure of its operational and supply chain GHG emissions (Scopes 1, 2, and 3); ▪ The completeness, feasibility, and rigor of company's short-, medium-, and long-term targets for reducing operational and supply chain GHG emissions in line with Paris Agreement goals (Scopes 1, 2, and 3 if relevant); ▪ Whether the company has sought and received third-party approval that its targets are science-based; ▪ Whether the company has made a commitment to be "net zero" for operational and supply chain emissions (Scopes 1, 2, and 3) by 2050; ▪ Whether the company discloses a commitment to report on the implementation of its plan in subsequent years; ▪ Whether the company's climate data has received third-party assurance; ▪ Disclosure of how the company's lobbying activities and its capital expenditures align with company strategy; ▪ Whether there are specific industry decarbonization challenges; and ▪ The company's related commitment, disclosure, and performance compared to its industry peers.
<p>Footnotes:</p> <p>¹⁴Variations of this request also include climate transition related ambitions, or commitment to reporting on the implementation of a climate plan.</p>	<p>Footnotes:</p> <p>¹⁴Variations of this request also include climate transition related ambitions, or commitment to reporting on the implementation of a climate plan.</p>

Rationale for Change

This change updates the policy to allow the analyst approach to more comprehensively evaluate management-filed climate transition plans. Proposals will be evaluated with additional attention paid to the feasibility of disclosed targets.

We empower investors and companies to build for long-term and sustainable growth by providing high-quality data, analytics, and insight.

GET STARTED WITH ISS SOLUTIONS

Email sales@issgovernance.com or visit www.issgovernance.com for more information.

Founded in 1985, Institutional Shareholder Services group of companies (ISS) empowers investors and companies to build for long-term and sustainable growth by providing high-quality data, analytics and insight. ISS, which is majority owned by Deutsche Bourse Group, along with Genstar Capital and ISS management, is a leading provider of corporate governance and responsible investment solutions, market intelligence, fund services, and events and editorial content for institutional investors and corporations, globally. ISS' 2,600 employees operate worldwide across 29 global locations in 15 countries. Its approximately 3,400 clients include many of the world's leading institutional investors who rely on ISS' objective and impartial offerings, as well as public companies focused on ESG and governance risk mitigation as a shareholder value enhancing measure. Clients rely on ISS' expertise to help them make informed investment decisions. This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, and charts (collectively, the "Information") is the property of Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. (ISS), its subsidiaries, or, in some cases third party suppliers.

The Information has not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body. None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), or a promotion or recommendation of, any security, financial product or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy, and ISS does not endorse, approve, or otherwise express any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products or instruments or trading strategies.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information.

ISS MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY, AND FITNESS for A PARTICULAR PURPOSE) WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION.

Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by law, in no event shall ISS have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits), or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not by applicable law be excluded or limited.

© 2025 | Institutional Shareholder Services and/or its affiliates