

UNITED STATES

PUBLIC FUND PROXY VOTING GUIDELINES UPDATES 2024 Policy Recommendations

Published January 2024



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Director Elections | 3 |
|---|---|
| Other Board-Related Proposals | |
| Director and Officer Liability Protection and Exculpation; Director and Officer Indemnification | |
| Compensation | 6 |
| Evaluation of Executive Pay | 6 |
| Golden and Tin Parachutes | 6 |



Director Elections

Other Board-Related Proposals

Director and Officer Liability Protection and Exculpation; Director and Officer Indemnification

Current Public Fund Advisory Services Policy:

Director and Officer Liability Protection and Exculpation

Management proposals typically seek shareholder approval to adopt an amendment to the company's charter to eliminate or limit the personal liability of directors to the company and its shareholders for monetary damages for any breach of fiduciary duty to the fullest extent permitted by state law. Charter amendments may also include limited liability wherein a person's financial liability is limited to a fixed sum, or personal financial assets are not at risk if the individual loses a lawsuit that results in financial award/damages to the plaintiff. In contrast, shareholder proposals seek to provide for personal monetary liability for fiduciary breaches arising from gross negligence.

Public Fund Advisory Services may support these proposals when the company persuasively argues that such action is necessary to attract and retain directors, but will likely oppose management proposals and support shareholder proposals in order to promote greater accountability.

Public Fund Advisory Services Recommendation: Vote case-by-case, considering the stated rationale for the proposed change, on proposals to limit or eliminate entirely director and officer liability in regard to: (i) breach of the director's fiduciary "duty of loyalty" and "duty of care" to shareholders; (ii) acts or omissions not made in "good faith" or involving intentional misconduct or knowledge of violations under the law; (iii) acts involving the unlawful purchases or redemptions of stock; (iv) payment of unlawful dividends; or (v) use of the position as director for receipt of improper personal benefits.

New Public Fund Advisory Services Policy:

Director and Officer Liability Protection and Exculpation

Management proposals typically seek shareholder approval to adopt an amendment to the company's charter to eliminate or limit the personal liability of directors to the company and its shareholders for monetary damages for any breach of fiduciary duty to the fullest extent permitted by state law. Charter amendments may also include limited liability wherein a person's financial liability is limited to a fixed sum, or personal financial assets are not at risk if the individual loses a lawsuit that results in financial award/damages to the plaintiff. In contrast, shareholder proposals seek to provide for personal monetary liability for fiduciary breaches arising from gross negligence.

Public Fund Advisory Services may support these proposals when the company persuasively argues that such action is necessary to attract and retain directors, but will likely oppose management proposals and support shareholder proposals in order to promote greater accountability.

Public Fund Advisory Services Recommendation: Vote against proposals to limit or eliminate entirely director and officer liability in regard to: (i) breach of the director's fiduciary "duty of loyalty" and "duty of care" to shareholders; (ii) acts or omissions not made in "good faith" or involving intentional misconduct or knowledge of violations under the law; (iii) acts involving the unlawful purchases or redemptions of stock; (iv) payment of unlawful dividends; or (v) use of the position as director for receipt of improper personal benefits.

WWW.ISSGOVERNANCE.COM 3 of 8



Director and Officer Indemnification

Indemnification is the payment by a company of the expenses of directors who become involved in litigation as a result of their service to a company. Proposals to indemnify a company's directors differ from those to eliminate or reduce their liability because with indemnification directors may still be liable for an act or omission, but the company will bear the expense. Public Fund fiduciaries may support these proposals when the company persuasively argues that such action is necessary to attract and retain directors, but should generally oppose indemnification when it is being proposed to insulate directors from actions that have already occurred.

Public Fund Advisory Services Recommendation:

- Vote case-by-case, considering the stated rationale for the proposed change, on indemnification proposals that would expand individual coverage beyond ordinary legal expenses to also cover specific acts of negligence that are more serious violations of fiduciary obligation than mere carelessness.
- Vote case-by-case, considering the stated rationale for the proposed change, on proposals that would expand the scope of indemnification to provide for mandatory indemnification of company officials in connection with acts that previously the company was permitted to provide indemnification for at the discretion of the company's board (i.e., "permissive indemnification") but that previously the company was not required to indemnify.
- Vote for those proposals which provide expanded coverage in cases when a director's or officer's legal defense was unsuccessful if: (1) the individual was found to have acted in good faith and in a manner that the individual reasonably believed was in the best interests of the company; and (2) only if the individual's legal expenses would be covered.

Director and Officer Indemnification

Indemnification is the payment by a company of the expenses of directors who become involved in litigation as a result of their service to a company. Proposals to indemnify a company's directors differ from those to eliminate or reduce their liability because with indemnification directors may still be liable for an act or omission, but the company will bear the expense. Public Fund fiduciaries may support these proposals when the company persuasively argues that such action is necessary to attract and retain directors, but should generally oppose indemnification when it is being proposed to insulate directors from actions that have already occurred.

Public Fund Advisory Services Recommendation:

- Vote against indemnification proposals that would expand individual coverage beyond ordinary legal expenses to also cover specific acts of negligence that are more serious violations of fiduciary obligation than mere carelessness.
- Vote against proposals that would expand the scope of indemnification to provide for mandatory indemnification of company officials in connection with acts that previously the company was permitted to provide indemnification for at the discretion of the company's board (i.e., "permissive indemnification") but that previously the company was not required to indemnify.
- Vote for only those proposals which provide expanded coverage in cases when a director's or officer's legal defense was unsuccessful if: (1) the individual was found to have acted in good faith and in a manner that the individual reasonably believed was in the best interests of the company; and (2) only if the individual's legal expenses would be covered.

Rationale for Change:

The Delaware General Corporation Law ("DGCL") was amended in August 2022 to permit corporations to limit or eliminate the personal liability of officers for claims of breach of the fiduciary duty of care (Section 102(b)(7)). While the DGCL previously allowed corporations to exculpate directors from breach of fiduciary duty of care claims,

WWW.ISSGOVERNANCE.COM 4 of 8

UNITED STATES

2024 PUBLIC FUND PROXY VOTING GUIDELINES UPDATES



the recent amendments expand that exculpation authority to corporate officers, in both cases only if the corporation's certificate of incorporation includes an exculpation provision.

The exculpation of officers could constitute an expansion of exemption from liability that could adversely impact officers' accountability, especially in the event of a breach in fiduciary care. In order to protect shareholder interests, it is imperative to preserve incentives towards responsible governance behavior among officers at corporations. Maintaining a balance between shareholder concerns and companies' ability to attract and retain high quality agents to work on their behalf is paramount, and this change works to support that balance.

WWW.ISSGOVERNANCE.COM
5 of 8



Compensation

Evaluation of Executive Pay

Golden and Tin Parachutes

Current Public Fund Advisory Services Policy:

Golden parachutes are designed to protect the employees of a corporation in the event of a change-in-control. Under most golden parachute agreements, senior level management employees receive a lump sum payout triggered by a change-in-control at usually two to three times their current base salary. The SEC requires disclosure of all golden parachute arrangements in the proxy statement.

Public Fund Advisory Services Recommendation

- Vote case-by-case on management proposals to ratify or cancel golden parachutes taking into consideration the following factors:
 - Whether the triggering mechanism is beyond the control of management;
 - Whether the payout amount is based on an excessive severance multiple; and
 - Whether the change-in-control payments are double-triggered, i.e., (1) after a change in control has taken place, and (2) termination of the executive as a result of the change in control. Change in control is defined as a change in the company ownership structure.
- Vote for shareholder proposals to all have golden parachute agreements submitted for shareholder ratification.

New Public Fund Advisory Services Policy:

Golden parachutes are designed to protect the employees of a corporation in the event of a change-in-control. Under most golden parachute agreements, senior level management employees receive a lump sum payout triggered by a change-in-control at usually two to three times their current base salary. The SEC requires disclosure of all golden parachute arrangements in the proxy statement.

Public Fund Advisory Services Recommendation

- Vote case-by-case on management proposals to ratify or cancel golden parachutes taking into consideration the following factors:
 - Whether the triggering mechanism is beyond the control of management;
 - Whether the payout amount is based on an excessive severance multiple; and
 - Whether the change-in-control payments are double-triggered, i.e., (1) after a change in control has taken place, and (2) termination of the executive as a result of the change in control. Change in control is defined as a change in the company ownership structure.
- Vote case-by-case on shareholder proposals requiring that executive severance (including change-in-control related) arrangements or payments be submitted for shareholder ratification. Factors that will be considered include, but are not limited to:
 - The company's severance or change-in-control agreements in place, and the presence of problematic features (such as excessive severance entitlements, single triggers, excise tax gross-ups, etc.);
 - Any existing limits on cash severance payouts or policies which require shareholder ratification of severance payments exceeding a certain level;
 - Any recent severance-related controversies; and

WWW.ISSGOVERNANCE.COM 6 of 8

UNITED STATES

2024 PUBLIC FUND PROXY VOTING GUIDELINES UPDATES



| Whether the proposal is overly prescriptive, such as requiring |
|--|
| shareholder approval of severance that does not exceed market norms. |
| |

Rationale for Change:

The current policy is being updated to reflect Public Fund Advisory Services' case-by-case approach when analyzing shareholder proposals requiring that executive severance arrangements be submitted for shareholder ratification. The updated policy (i) harmonizes the factors used to analyze both regular termination severance as well as change-in-control related ("golden parachute") severance; and (ii) clarifies the key factors considered, including the company's existing severance provisions and whether the company has already implemented adequate safeguards against the potential for problematic or excessive severance.

WWW.ISSGOVERNANCE.COM 7 of 8



We empower investors and companies to build for long-term and sustainable growth by providing high-quality data, analytics, and insight.

GET STARTED WITH ISS SOLUTIONS

Email sales@issgovernance.com or visit www.issgovernance.com for more information.

Founded in 1985, Institutional Shareholder Services group of companies (ISS) empowers investors and companies to build for long-term and sustainable growth by providing high-quality data, analytics and insight. ISS, which is majority owned by Deutsche Bourse Group, along with Genstar Capital and ISS management, is a leading provider of corporate governance and responsible investment solutions, market intelligence, fund services, and events and editorial content for institutional investors and corporations, globally. ISS' 2,600 employees operate worldwide across 29 global locations in 15 countries. Its approximately 3,400 clients include many of the world's leading institutional investors who rely on ISS' objective and impartial offerings, as well as public companies focused on ESG and governance risk mitigation as a shareholder value enhancing measure. Clients rely on ISS' expertise to help them make informed investment decisions. This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, and charts (collectively, the "Information") is the property of Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. (ISS), its subsidiaries, or, in some cases third party suppliers.

The Information has not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body. None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), or a promotion or recommendation of, any security, financial product or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy, and ISS does not endorse, approve, or otherwise express any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products or instruments or trading strategies.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information.

ISS MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY, AND FITNESS for A PARTICULAR PURPOSE) WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION.

Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by law, in no event shall ISS have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits), or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not by applicable law be excluded or limited.

© 2024 | Institutional Shareholder Services and/or its affiliates

WWW.ISSGOVERNANCE.COM