

TAIWAN

Proxy Voting Guidelines Benchmark Policy Recommendations

Effective for Meetings on or after February 1, 2020
Published November 19, 2019



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Overview	3
2. Financial Results and Business Operations Reports	4
Approval of Financial Statements and Statutory Reports	4
3. Allocation of Income and Dividends	4
Cash Dividends from Earnings	4
Cash Dividends or New Shares from Capital and Legal Reserves	5
Stock Dividends.....	5
4. Capital Reduction to Offset Losses or by Distributing Cash to Shareholders	5
5. Amendments to Company Articles/Bylaws	6
Articles of Association	6
Cash Dividend Distribution Plans.....	6
Increase in Authorized Capital.....	6
Establishment of an Audit Committee to Replace Supervisors	6
Adoption of the Nomination System for the Election of Directors and Supervisors	6
Change of Board Size	6
Other Company Bylaws	7
6. Capital Raising	7
7. Equity-based Compensation	8
8. Election of Directors and Supervisors	9
Voting for Director and Supervisor Nominees in Uncontested Elections	9
Classification of Directors	11
Voting for Director Nominees in Contested Elections	13
9. Release of Restrictions on Competitive Activities of Directors.....	13
10. Mergers & Acquisitions	14
11. Transact Other Business	14

1. Overview

Companies must hold their AGMs within six months of the close of each fiscal year. The following resolutions are commonly seen at a shareholder meeting:

- Approval of business operations reports and financial statements;
- Allocation of income or loss offsetting proposals;
- Amendments to articles of association or other company bylaws;
- Capital raising requests;
- Election of directors and supervisors;
- Release of restrictions on competitive activities of directors;
- Transact other business.

Other items that may be submitted for shareholder approval include:

- Capital reduction to offset losses or by distributing cash to shareholders;
- Equity-based compensation;
- Merger, consolidation, or split-off.

Policies in this document are presented in the order that generally appears on the ballot.


Vote Requirements:

- Ordinary resolutions require the approval of a majority of the shareholders present, who represent more than one half of the issued shares.
- Special resolutions require the approval of a majority of the shareholders present, who represent two-thirds or more of the issued shares. If the attending shareholders represent less than two-thirds of the issued shares, such resolutions may be adopted by a super majority vote (2/3 or more) of the attending shareholders who represent more than one-half of the issued shares.

2. Financial Results and Business Operations Reports

Approval of Financial Statements and Statutory Reports


Resolution Type: Ordinary

-  **General Recommendation:** Generally vote for proposals to approve financial results, business operations reports and other statutory reports unless:
- There are concerns about the accounts presented or audit procedures used; or
 - The company is not responsive to shareholder questions about specific items that should be publicly disclosed.

Discussion

Article 20 of the Company Act requires Taiwanese companies to submit their annual reports, financial statements, surplus earnings distribution or loss offsetting proposals to shareholders for approval after the end of each fiscal year. External audit is required for the financial statements. Pursuant to Article 36 of the Securities and Exchange Act, audited financial reports shall be prepared and released within three months after the close of each fiscal year.

3. Allocation of Income and Dividends

-  **General Recommendation:** Generally vote for approval of the allocation of income and dividends.


When distributing earnings and dividends, companies usually provide shareholders one or a combination of the following:

- Cash dividends from earnings;
- Cash dividends from capital reserves;
- New shares from capital reserves;
- Stock dividends.

When losses are posted for the year, companies are required to submit the loss offsetting proposals, usually included in the statement of profit and loss appropriation, for shareholder approval, along with the business operations reports and financial statements.

Cash Dividends from Earnings

Resolution Type: Ordinary

-  **General Recommendation:** Generally vote for distribution of dividends from earnings unless:
- The dividend payout ratio has been consistently below 30 percent without adequate explanation;
 - The proposed payout is excessive given the company's financial position.

Discussion

According to Article 112 and 232 of the Company Act, if there are no surplus earnings for the current year, no dividends and bonuses shall be paid out. In addition, before the company could pay out dividends and bonuses, the company shall:

- Cover its losses and pay all its taxes and dues;
- Set aside 10 percent of its surplus profit as legal reserve when the amount of legal reserve is less than the authorized capital;
- Allocate another sum of its surplus profits as special reserve, if special reserve is specified in the Articles of Association or approved by shareholders.

Cash Dividends or New Shares from Capital and Legal Reserves

Resolution Type: Special

- **General Recommendation:** Generally vote for proposals to distribute dividends or new shares from capital and legal reserves.

Discussion

In accordance with Article 241 of the Company Act, when there is no loss incurred, a company may distribute all or part of its legal reserve and capital reserve by cash or by issuing new shares to its existing shareholders based on their proportionate holdings. If legal reserve is distributed, only the portion of legal reserve which exceeds 25 percent of the paid-in capital may be distributed.

Stock Dividends

- **General Recommendation:** Generally vote for proposals to distribute stock dividends.

4. Capital Reduction to Offset Losses or by Distributing Cash to Shareholders

Resolution Type: Ordinary

- **General Recommendation:** Generally vote for the capital reduction to offset losses or to distribute cash to shareholders unless:

- The proposed capital reduction is not conducted on a proportionate basis according to the shareholding structure of the company but instead favors certain shareholders; or
- The proposed cash distribution is expected to negatively affect the company's day-to-day operations.

Discussion

According to Article 168 of the Company Act, a company shall not cancel its shares unless a resolution on capital reduction has been adopted by its shareholders' meeting and capital reduction shall be effected based on the percentage of shareholding of the shareholders pro rata, unless otherwise provided for in this Act or any other governing laws.

Taiwanese companies sometimes may propose to offset cumulative losses by reducing their capital. On the other hand, cash may be given to shareholders when they have extra cash on hand and there is no significant investment foreseen in the near future. The total number of the outstanding shares will then be reduced. A smaller share base will result in higher earnings per share and return on net assets.

5. Amendments to Company Articles/Bylaws

- **General Recommendation:** Vote case-by-case on amendments to company bylaws.

Proposals to amend company bylaws are commonly seen at shareholder meetings. Companies usually disclose the details of the proposed amendments in their meeting circulars. Amendments are nearly always bundled together as a single voting resolution, and ISS' general approach is to oppose article amendments as a whole when they include changes we oppose.

Articles of Association

The following are some of the most common or significant types of changes.

Cash Dividend Distribution Plans

- **General Recommendation:** Generally vote against proposals for article amendments to grant the board full discretion to decide on the company's cash dividend distribution plan without shareholder approval. Such amendment will undermine shareholders' right to decide on cash dividend payments.

Discussion

The Taiwan Company Act was revised in 2018 to allow companies to declare dividends semiannually or quarterly and to delegate greater authority to the board regarding the company's cash dividend distribution plan. The new provision under Article 240 of the Company Act specifies that companies may authorize in their Articles the right of the board to decide on the company's cash dividend distribution plan upon approval by a majority vote at a board meeting attended by two-thirds of all directors. However, stock dividend distribution plans still require shareholder approval.

Increase in Authorized Capital

- **General Recommendation:** Vote case-by-case on increase in authorized capital if the company explicitly provides reasons for the increase. Otherwise, vote for this change, unless:
- The increase in authorized capital exceeds 100 percent of the currently authorized capital; or
 - The increase leaves the company with less than 30 percent of the proposed authorized capital outstanding; or
 - The increase is intended for a poison pill, which ISS opposes.

Establishment of an Audit Committee to Replace Supervisors

- **General Recommendation:** Generally vote for the establishment of audit committee.

Adoption of the Nomination System for the Election of Directors and Supervisors

- **General Recommendation:** Generally vote for the adoption of the nomination system for the election of directors.

Change of Board Size

Resolution Type: Special

- **General Recommendation:** Generally vote for the change of board size unless it is used as an anti-takeover mechanism or to alter board structure or size in the context of a fight for control of the company or the board.

Other Company Bylaws

Resolution Type: Ordinary

► **General Recommendation:** Vote case-by-case on other bylaw proposals.

Other company bylaws include but are not limited to:

- Procedures Governing the Acquisition or Disposal of Assets;
- Rules and Procedures Regarding Shareholder's Meeting;
- Rules and Procedures for Election of Directors and Supervisors;
- Rules and Procedures Regarding Board of Directors' Meeting;
- Procedures for Lending Funds to Other Parties;
- Procedures for Endorsement and Guarantees;
- Trading Procedures Governing Derivatives Products.

6. Capital Raising

► **General Recommendation:** Generally vote for general authority to issue shares if:

- A general share issuance mandate that includes a private placement as one of the financing channels if the resulting dilution is limited to no more than 10 percent.
- A general mandate for public share issuance if the issue size is limited to no more than 20 percent of the existing issued share capital.

Vote case-by-case on requests to issue shares for a specific purpose such as the financing of a particular project, an acquisition, or a merger.

Discussion

There are four capital raising channels that require shareholder approval in Taiwan:

- Issuance of new ordinary shares via a private placement;
- Issuance of convertible bonds via a private placement;
- Public issuance of new ordinary shares via book building;
- Participation in global depositary receipt (GDR) issuance.


Taiwanese companies normally seek authority to raise capital through one or a combination of the abovementioned channels, with the authority lasting for one year. This is, in essence, a general issuance mandate allowing companies to issue shares, convertible bonds, or participate in GDR issuance up to a preapproved limit. The specific capital raising channel and usage of raised proceeds will be determined by the board should the board decide to exercise this authority.

Additionally, the vast majority of Taiwanese boards have minimal or no independent representation. Given the prevalence of insider-dominated boards in Taiwan, ISS believes that stricter limits should be placed on a general mandate that allows for issuance by way of a private placement so as to reduce the risk of abuse by insiders for their own benefit.

Private placement of new shares and convertible bonds are special resolutions. Public issuance of new shares via book building and participation in GDR issuance are ordinary resolutions.

7. Equity-based Compensation

Resolution Type: Special

 **General Recommendation:** Vote case-by-case on employee restricted stocks and/or employee stock warrant plans. Vote against the employee restricted stocks plan and/or employee stock warrants plan if one or two of the following features are not met:

- Existing substantial shareholders are restricted in participation;
- Presence of challenging performance hurdles if awards are issued or exercised for free or at a deep discount; or
- Reasonable vesting period (at least two years) is set.

Discussion


Equity-based compensation plans in Taiwan are regulated by the Regulations Governing the Offering and Issuance of Securities by Securities Issuers (Issues Regulations), which allows the issuance of employee restricted stock and employee stock warrants to employees. The Issues Regulations set a maximum dilution resulted from all outstanding equity-based compensation plans at 15 percent of the company's outstanding capital and restricts the participants of such plans to the companies' employees only. Other than that, the companies are given the discretion in determining other factors relevant to the plans, including but not limited to vesting conditions, vesting period and grant prices. In Taiwan, shareholder approval is only required for equity plans with an issue price or exercise price below market price.

The Issues Regulations requires the disclosure of the following items in their proposals:

- Issue amount;
- Issue conditions (including issue price, vesting conditions, type of stocks, arrangements when the employees fail to meet the vesting conditions);
- Qualifications of employees to be eligible for the schemes and the number of shares to be granted;
- Rationale for implementing the employee incentive program;
- Estimated accounting cost to the company, dilution effect on the company's EPS, and other shareholder rights.

8. Election of Directors and Supervisors

Voting for Director and Supervisor Nominees in Uncontested Elections

-  **General Recommendation:** Vote against all directors and supervisors where the company employs the non-nomination system for election.

When the company employs the nomination system, generally vote for all non-independent director candidates, unless:

- The board is less than one-third independent under ISS' classification of directors; or
- The names and background of representatives of statutory directors are not disclosed.

Generally vote for the independent director nominees, unless:

Independence:

- The nominee is deemed non-independent under [ISS' classification](#);
- The nominee has been a partner of the company's auditor within the last three years¹ and serves on the audit committee.

Composition:

- The nominee has attended less than 75 percent of board and key committee meetings over the most recent fiscal year, without a satisfactory explanation. The calculation of director attendance (or that of the representatives appointed by a legal entity which serves as a corporate director in the company) will not include meetings attended by alternate directors (or the proxy of those representatives). Acceptable reasons for director absences are generally limited to the following:
 - Medical issues/illness;
 - Family emergencies;
 - The director (or the representative) has served on the board for less than a year; and
 - Missing only one meeting (when the total of all meetings is three or fewer);
- The nominee sits on more than six² public company boards³.

In making any of the above recommendations on the election of directors, ISS generally will not recommend against the election of a CEO, managing director, executive chairman, or founder whose removal from the board would be expected to have a material negative impact on shareholder value.

Accountability:

- The nominee is a legal entity or a representative of a legal entity⁴;

¹ Pursuant to Securities and Exchange Act, all independent directors will automatically become the members of the company's audit committee, if any, which should be 100-percent independent.

² A commitment to reduce the number of boards to six or fewer by the next annual meeting will be considered. The commitment would need to be disclosed prior to the AGM in the relevant meeting materials, such as the meeting notice, circular, or annual report.

³ Any independent directors shall not sit on more than three other public boards as independent director, according to Regulations Governing Appointment of Independent Directors and Compliance Matters for Public Companies under the Securities and Exchange Act.

⁴ Pursuant to Regulations Governing Appointment of Independent Directors and Compliance Matters for Public Companies under the Securities and Exchange Act, a legal entity can only serve as non-independent director in a company.

Under extraordinary circumstances, vote against directors or supervisors, members of a committee, or the entire board, due to:

- Material failures of governance, stewardship, risk oversight, or fiduciary responsibilities at the company;
- Failure to replace management as appropriate; or
- Egregious actions related to a director's or supervisor's service on other boards that raise substantial doubt about his or her ability to effectively oversee management and serve the best interests of shareholders at any company.

When the company employs the nomination system, generally vote for all supervisor candidates, unless the names and background of representatives of statutory supervisors are not disclosed.

Classification of Directors

Executive Director

- Employee or executive of the company or a wholly-owned subsidiary of the company;
- Any director who is classified as a non-executive, but receives salary, fees, bonus, and/or other benefits that are in line with the highest-paid executives of the company.

Non-Independent Non-Executive Director (NED)

- Any director who is attested by the board to be a non-independent NED;
- Any director specifically designated as a representative of a shareholder of the company;
- Any director who is also an employee or executive of a significant^[1] shareholder of the company;
- Any director who is also an employee or executive of a subsidiary, associate, joint venture, or company that is affiliated with a significant^[1] shareholder of the company;
- Any director who is nominated by a dissenting significant shareholder, unless there is a clear lack of material^[2] connection with the dissident, either currently or historically;
- Beneficial owner (direct or indirect) of at least 10 percent of the company's stock, either in economic terms or in voting rights (this may be aggregated if voting power is distributed among more than one member of a defined group, e.g., family members who beneficially own less than 10 percent individually, but collectively own more than 10 percent), unless market best practice dictates a lower ownership and/or disclosure threshold (and in other special market-specific circumstances);
- Government representative;
- Currently provides or has provided (or a relative^[3] provides) professional services^[4] to the company, to an affiliate of the company, or to an individual officer of the company or of one of its affiliates in the latest fiscal year in excess of USD 10,000 per year;
- Represents customer, supplier, creditor, banker, or other entity with which the company maintains transactional/commercial relationship (unless company discloses information to apply a materiality test^[5]);
- Any director who has a conflicting relationship with the company, including but not limited to cross-directorships with executive directors or the chairman of the company;
- Relative^[3] of a current employee or executive of the company or its affiliates;
- Relative^[3] of a former employee or executive of the company or its affiliates;
- A new appointee elected other than by a formal process through the General Meeting (such as a contractual appointment by a substantial shareholder);
- Founder/co-founder/member of founding family but not currently an employee or executive;
- Former employee or executive (five-year cooling off period);
- Directors with a tenure exceeding three terms will be considered non-independent, unless the company provides sufficient and clear justification that the director is independent despite his long tenure.
- Any additional relationship or principle considered to compromise independence under local corporate governance best practice guidance.

Independent NED

- No material^[2] connection, either directly or indirectly, to the company (other than a board seat) or the dissenting significant shareholder.

Employee Representative

- Represents employees or employee shareholders of the company (classified as "employee representative" but considered a non-independent NED).

Footnotes:

[1] At least 10 percent of the company's stock, unless market best practice dictates a lower ownership and/or disclosure threshold.

[2] For purposes of ISS' director independence classification, "material" will be defined as a standard of relationship financial, personal, or otherwise that a reasonable person might conclude could potentially influence one's objectivity in the boardroom in a manner that would have a meaningful impact on an individual's ability to satisfy requisite fiduciary standards on behalf of shareholders.

[3] "Relative" follows the definition of "immediate family members" which covers spouses, parents, children, stepparents, step-children, siblings, in-laws, and any person (other than a tenant or employee) sharing the household of any director, nominee for director, executive officer, or significant shareholder of the company.

[4] Professional services can be characterized as advisory in nature and generally include the following: investment banking/financial advisory services; commercial banking (beyond deposit services); investment services; insurance services; accounting/audit services; consulting services; marketing services; and legal services. The case of participation in a banking syndicate by a non-lead bank should be considered a transaction (and hence subject to the associated materiality test) rather than a professional relationship.

[5] A business relationship may be material if the transaction value (of all outstanding transactions) entered into between the company and the company or organization with which the director is associated is equivalent to either 1 percent of the company's turnover or 1 percent of the turnover of the company or organization with which the director is associated. OR, A business relationship may be material if the transaction value (of all outstanding financing operations) entered into between the company and the company or organization with which the director is associated is more than 10 percent of the company's shareholder equity or the transaction value, (of all outstanding financing operations), compared to the company's total assets, is more than 5 percent.

Discussion

The election of directors and supervisors in Taiwan is unique. One notable characteristic is that legal entities such as governmental organizations and corporations, not just natural persons, can serve as non-independent directors and supervisors. Legal entities can either appoint individuals as candidates or run for election themselves and then appoint representatives to perform the fiduciary duties. As a result, it is not uncommon in Taiwan to see only the name of the legal entity being nominated for a board seat and not the identity of the actual individual who will serve on the board to represent that entity's interest.

Another notable characteristic in Taiwan is that, only the independent directors are elected by the standard nomination system. The election of non-independent directors and supervisors, on the other hand, may use a traditional election system which is commonly referred to as the "non-nomination system". Under this non-nomination system, any shareholder can nominate any person of legal age to the board. Companies are not obliged to provide a roster of candidates and their profiles before the meeting, and many firms disclose candidate names and profiles at the meeting or only a few days beforehand. Further, whether the candidates are supported by management or not is often not disclosed.

Election by the non-nomination system poses a great challenge to investors, particularly overseas investors voting by proxy who must cast their votes well in advance of the meeting. The non-nomination system disenfranchises minority shareholders and greatly limits their ability to cast an informed vote. In contrast, under the nomination system, the board of directors reviews the qualifications of each candidate nominated by either the board itself or any shareholder holding one percent or more of the company's outstanding shares, and then provides the final roster of candidates together with their profiles to shareholders prior to the meeting.

Taking into consideration that the nomination system for non-independent director election is yet to be made mandatory by law, most of the assessment criteria, such as attendance and public boards held, among others, which are applicable to peer markets in the Asia-Pacific Region, will only be applied to independent director candidates who must be elected via the nomination system. In order to better evaluate non-independent director nominees' suitability in the long run, such assessment criteria could be phasing in and adopted in the review of non-independent director nominees in the near future.

Voting requirement: Cumulative voting is mandatory for all elections. There is no majority vote requirement.

Voting for Director Nominees in Contested Elections

- **General Recommendation:** Vote case-by-case on the election of directors in contested elections, including election of shareholder nominees or the dismissal of incumbent directors. For shareholder nominees, ISS places the persuasive burden on the nominee or the proposing shareholder to prove that they are better suited to serve on the board than management's nominees. Serious consideration of shareholder nominees will be given only if there are clear and compelling reasons for the nominee to join the board. These nominees must also demonstrate a clear ability to contribute positively to board deliberations; some nominees may have hidden or narrow agendas and may unnecessarily contribute to divisiveness among directors.

The major decision factors are:

- Company performance relative to its peers;
- Strategy of the incumbents versus the dissidents;
- Independence of directors/nominees;
- Experience and skills of board candidates;
- Governance profile of the company;
- Evidence of management entrenchment;
- Responsiveness to shareholders;
- Whether a takeover offer has been rebuffed.

When analyzing proxy contests/shareholder nominees, ISS focuses on two central questions:

- (1) Have the dissidents proved that board change is warranted? and
- (2) If so, are the dissident board nominees likely to effect positive change (i.e., maximize long-term shareholder value)?

9. Release of Restrictions on Competitive Activities of Directors

Resolution Type: Special

- **General Recommendation:** Vote against release of restrictions on competitive activities of directors if:

- There is lack of disclosure on the key information including identities of the directors in question, current positions in the company, and outside boards they are serving on⁵; or
- The non-nomination system is employed by the company for the director election;

Discussion

Article 209 of the Company Act states that: "a director who does anything for himself or on behalf of another person that is within the scope of the company's business, shall explain to the meeting of shareholders the essential contents of such an act and secure its approval". Therefore, this request is frequently seen at Taiwanese AGMs, mostly in conjunction with director elections.


As is so defined in the Company Act, a director's service on a non-wholly owned subsidiary triggers this restriction as it is considered a separate legal entity operating within the same industry, even though the entity is a subsidiary

⁵ If the company fails to disclose all outside directorships held by a director in question or those outside directorships that are being waived from the non-compete requirement, then it is deemed as lack of sufficient disclosure.

of the company in question. As a result, without this waiver, many directors will not be able to serve concurrently on subsidiaries' boards, which may limit the company's ability to monitor the conducts of these subsidiaries. Therefore, the release of restrictions is acknowledged as instrumental at large conglomerates that need the flexibility to spread board expertise and transact business throughout companies within the group. However, the lack of a proper protection mechanism against abusive usage of this permission, particularly the absence of a strong corporate governance structure, calls for a detailed disclosure including the identity of the directors in question, current positions in the company, and the outside boards they are serving on in order to analyze each individual case.

10. Mergers & Acquisitions


Resolution Type: Special

 **General Recommendation:** Vote case-by-case on mergers and acquisitions, taking into consideration the following factors:

- Valuation - Is the value to be received by the target shareholders (or paid by the acquirer) reasonable? If a fairness opinion has been prepared, it provides an initial starting point for assessing valuation reasonableness, but ISS also places emphasis on the offer premium, market reaction, and strategic rationale.
- Market reaction - How has the market responded to the proposed deal? A negative market reaction will cause ISS to scrutinize a deal more closely.
- Strategic rationale - Does the deal make sense strategically? From where is the value derived? Cost and revenue synergies should not be overly aggressive or optimistic, but reasonably achievable. Management should also have a favorable track record of successful integration of historical acquisitions.
- Negotiations and process - Were the terms of the transaction negotiated at arms-length? Was the process fair and equitable? A fair process helps to ensure the best price for shareholders.
- Conflicts of interest - Are insiders benefiting from the transaction disproportionately and inappropriately as compared to non-insider shareholders? As the result of potential conflicts, the directors and officers of the company may be more likely to vote to approve a merger than if they did not hold these interests. ISS will consider whether these interests may have influenced these directors and officers to support or recommend the merger.
- Governance - Will the combined company have a better or worse governance profile than the respective current governance profiles of the respective parties to the transaction? If the governance profile is to change for the worse, the burden is on the company to prove that other issues (such as valuation) outweigh any deterioration in governance.

Shareholders who dissent from the merger or acquisition have the right to require the company to buy back their shares at the prevailing fair price, which is also commonly known as "appraisal right of dissenting shareholders".

11. Transact Other Business

 **General Recommendation:** Vote against resolutions to transact other businesses.

Discussion

Taiwan companies allow the board and shareholders to raise issues not contained in the meeting agenda for shareholder approval during the shareholder meetings. Pursuant to Article 172 of the Company Act, election or dismissal of directors or supervisors, amendments to the Articles of Association, and other special resolutions, shall not be brought up as extemporary motions.

**We empower investors and companies to build
for long-term and sustainable growth by providing
high-quality data, analytics, and insight.**

GET STARTED WITH ISS SOLUTIONS

Email sales@issgovernance.com or visit issgovernance.com for more information.

Founded in 1985, the Institutional Shareholder Services group of companies ("ISS") is the world's leading provider of corporate governance and responsible investment solutions alongside fund intelligence and services, events, and editorial content for institutional investors, globally. ISS' solutions include objective governance research and recommendations; responsible investment data, analytics, and research; end-to-end proxy voting and distribution solutions; turnkey securities class-action claims management (provided by Securities Class Action Services, LLC); reliable global governance data and modeling tools; asset management intelligence, portfolio execution and monitoring, fund services, and media. Clients rely on ISS' expertise to help them make informed investment decisions.

This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, and charts (collectively, the "Information") is the property of Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. (ISS), its subsidiaries, or, in some cases third party suppliers.

The Information has not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body. None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), or a promotion or recommendation of, any security, financial product or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy, and ISS does not endorse, approve, or otherwise express any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products or instruments or trading strategies.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information.

ISS MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY, AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE) WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION.

Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by law, in no event shall ISS have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits), or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not by applicable law be excluded or limited.

© 2019 | Institutional Shareholder Services and/or its affiliates